

### MEETING AGENDA **Technical Advisory Committee**

10:00 a.m. (PLEASE NOTE TIME CHANGE)

May 21, 2018 **Date** 

**Time** 

Location Riverside County Transportation Commission

4080 Lemon Street, Third Floor

Conference Room A Riverside, CA 92501

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

Lori Askew, City of Calimesa Armando Baldizzone, City of Blythe Chad Blais, City of Norco Bo Chen, City of Palm Desert K. George Colangeli, PVVTA John Corella, Cathedral City Jesse Eckenroth, City of Rancho Mirage Brad Fagrell, City of Lake Elsinore Tom Garcia, City of Palm Springs Christopher Gray, WRCOG Jonathan Hoy, City of Coachella Joe Indrawan, City of Eastvale Amer Jakher, City of Beaumont Rohan Kuruppu, Riverside Transit Agency David Lee, Caltrans District 8 Steve Loriso, City of Jurupa Valley Martin Magana, CVAG

Bryan McKinney, City of La Quinta Bob Moehling, City of Murrieta Farshid Mohammadi, City of Riverside Vice Chair Habib Motlagh, Cities of Perris and San Jacinto Nelson Nelson, City of Corona Aaron Palmer, City of Canyon Lake Daniel Porras, City of Desert Hot Springs Patricia Romo, County of Riverside Ken Seumalo, City of Indian Wells - Chair Sudi Shoja, City of Hemet Jonathan Smith, City of Menifee Patrick Thomas, City of Temecula Art Vela, City of Banning Timothy T. Wassil, City of Indio Michael Wolfe, City of Moreno Valley Dan York, City of Wildomar Vacant, SunLine Transit Agency

### RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA\*

\*Actions may be taken on any item listed on the agenda.

TIME: **10:00 A.M.** 

DATE: May 21, 2018

LOCATION: Riverside County Transportation Commission

4080 Lemon Street, Third Floor

Conference Room A Riverside, CA 92501

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Government Code Section 54954.2, and the Federal Transit Administration Title VI, please contact the Clerk of the Board at (951) 787-7141 if special assistance is needed to participate in a public meeting, including accessibility and translation services. Assistance is provided free of charge. Notification of at least 48 hours prior to the meeting time will assist staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide assistance at the meeting.

- 1. Call to Order
- Self-Introductions
- 3. Approval of November 20, 2017 and March 19, 2018 Minutes
- 4. Public Comments (This is for comments on items not listed on agenda. Comments relating to an item on the agenda will be taken when the item is before the Committee.)
- 5. SB 1 Update (Presentation)
- 6. Active Transportation Program Cycle 4 Updates (Verbal Presentation)
- 7. Active Transportation Program 10-Point Recommendations (Attachment)
- 8. 2017 and 2019 Federal Transportation Improvement Program Amendments (Attachment)
- 9. Federal Obligation Plan Update (Attachment)
- 10. Caltrans Update (Verbal Presentation)
- 11. May Commission Meeting Highlights (Verbal Presentation)
- 12. Other Announcements
- 13. Other Business

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting May 21, 2018 Page 2

#### 14. Adjournment

The next meeting will be July 16, 2018 at CVAG, Board Room, Palm Desert at 10:30 a.m.

# NOVEMBER 20, 2017 MINUTES

### TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

#### Monday, November 20, 2017

#### 1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting of the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was called to order by Chair Ken Seumalo, at 10:30 a.m. at the Coachella Valley Association of Governments, 73710 Fred Waring Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260

#### 2. SELF-INTRODUCTIONS

Members Present:

Lori Askew, City of Calimesa Tom Garcia, City of Palm Springs Jonathan Hoy, City of Coachella Martin Magana, CVAG

Bob Moehling, City of Murrieta Ron Moreno, City of Palm Desert Anita Petke, SunLine Transit Agency Patricia Romo, County of Riverside

Mark Sabito, Rancho Mirage Ken Seumalo, City of Indian Wells Bill Simons, City of Cathedral City Patrick Thomas, City of Temecula Timothy T. Wassil, City of Indio Dan York, City of Wildomar

Others Present: Brad Brophy, Tri Lake Consultants

Shirley Gooding, RCTC
Jillian Guizado, RCTC
Aaron Hake, RCTC
Darren Henderson, WSP
Angela Keeler, RCTC

Edward Lara, City of Riverside Chenxia Li, Riverside Transit Agency

Martha Masters, RCTC Shirley Medina, RCTC

Julie Mignogna, City of La Quinta

Lorelle Moe-Luna, RCTC

Henry Ngo, City of Moreno Valley

Evita Premdas, Caltrans

#### 3. APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 18, 2017 MINUTES

The September 18, 2017 Minutes were approved as submitted.

#### 4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

The city of Indian Wells extended an apology to the city of La Quinta for a paving project that impeded traffic at last week's public works meeting.

#### 5. REGIONAL TRUCK/FEE STUDY UPDATE

Lorelle Moe-Luna, RCTC, introduced Darren Henderson, Project Manager for the regional truck study, WSP, who explained the study is the result of a lawsuit filed by the County of Riverside against Highland Fairview and the city of Moreno Valley regarding the environmental document that was prepared for the World Logistics Center site in Moreno Valley.

Mr. Henderson described the steps WSP is taking in its study and responded to various questions.

#### 6. SCAG REGIONAL INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE PROJECT

Ms. Moe-Luna introduced Alyssa Phaneuf and Matt Gleason, Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), who provided background information on the Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Architecture Project, a venture project that is one of six architecture projects maintained throughout the SCAG region. They explained its function is to establish connectivity between projects that have inter-county aspects and provide services across county lines. It gives elected officials and members of the public sector a chance to follow in the footsteps of the private sector and move forward in transportation technology, realizing and utilizing the technological capabilities and benefits the residents of the region.

They reported the project is a 12-month effort to update the Regional ITS Architecture that was developed in 2005 and was updated in 2008 and 2011. They responded to various questions.

#### 7. SB 1 PROGRAM UPDATES

Shirley Medina, RCTC, stated that the local streets and roads project lists were due October 16.

She also stated that for the near-term cycles, there are the local partnership program, the trade corridor enhancement account, and solutions for congested corridors. She said that agencies should prepare for the January 30<sup>th</sup> deadline, the trade corridor enhancement account applications due date. Program adoption is scheduled May 16, 2018. The solutions for congested corridors guidelines are still in draft form and it is expected to be approved by the CTC in December.

She explained that the CTC wants more multi-model projects and they will be requesting greenhouse Gas reductions information with every project application.

In response to a question regarding repeal efforts, Aaron Hake stressed the importance of communicating to your leaders and to those they represent what is being done with SB 1 dollars and also the opportunities that are presented by SB 1 dollars.

The city of Temecula did a great job last week. The city's city manager sent an email to its city council and more people should speak out plainly saying this may be a political issue for some of you; however, here are the facts; here's what you're getting, here's what we could potentially be getting, here are all the things that are happening, and putting out that factual information is going to be very important.

He further stated that we believe that today, the attorney general is able to release title and summary for the repeal initiative that is most likely going to make the November ballot next year. Once that title and summary are released, the proponents can begin gathering signatures. If it is released today, they have 180 days that would take us to May 19 for them to gather 585,000 or half a million signatures or 600,000 signatures from registered voters from out of state. We believe they will be successful in doing that. Based on the funding that has already come into the committee to gather those signatures, the Republican Party and the California Republican Congressional Delegation are supporting them and the members themselves are raising money to put this on the ballot. Three polls have been publically published so far about the likelihood of this passing. A week and a half ago, the New York Times released a new poll after the gas tax kicked in on November 1 showing the repeal will succeed.

In response to a question regarding the Caltrans Symposium on November 30, Mr. Hake said it is a joint District 8 and District 12 Caltrans event held at the Riverside Convention Center.

#### 8. ATP CYCLE 4 UPDATE

Martha Masters, RCTC, stated that the Cycle 4 Call for Projects is expected to open March 26, 2018 with the submission deadline June 8, 2018.

She pointed out some of the major proposed revisions to the final draft guidelines based on the progressive workshops staff attended. She also identified resources available to help local agencies strengthen their applications:

- The Active Transportation Resource Center (ATRC) that offers a website to provide information and resources;
- The Active Transportation Resource Team (ATRT) that is not limited to assisting local agencies for the ATP program, but other programs such as the Caltrans Sustainable Transportation Planning Grant program;
- SCAG has also offered workshops on the ATP in the past cycles. For more information on past workshops, visit the website in the agenda.
- The Riverside County Active Transportation Network (ATN) is a local consortium comprised of various public and private agencies and interest groups that meet quarterly. The next meeting will be February 21, 2018 at the SCAG Riverside Office.
- The Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG) Grant Writing Assistance Program provides help developing grant applications for funding. For more information, contact Christopher Tzeng <a href="mailto:ctzeng@wrcog.us">ctzeng@wrcog.us</a>.

#### 9. CALTRANS UPDATE

Evita Premdas, Caltrans District 8, standing in for David Lee, reported:

- There is Resident Engineers Academy training available in Marysville, Salinas, and Eureka. She recommended emailing Jenny Chann to register online.
- Architectural and Engineering (A&E) consultant contract that went into effect October 1. It requires the approval of acceptance be submitted prior to contract award.
- Caltrans Statewide Independent Insurance Database is available to verify a certification for material casters or laboratory, which is also available on the website.
- Inactive Projects: Agencies may receive a mass email as a reminder of inactive projects; that is, projects for which Caltrans has not received an invoice.
- HSIP cycle line: There is a call for projects anticipated May 2018.
- The CTC 2018 preparation schedule is now available on the CTC website.
- Form 17-2 for the consultant contract review needs approval from FHWA. If you have an A&E consultant in a management support role, please submit it via email to headquarters. A direct email is in the office bulletin.
- Caltrans currently has two pilot programs. They are the 376 and the progress employees pilot program. For questions, please email the DLAE or the area engineers.

In response to a question regarding the Federal Aid Series, Ms. Premdas stated it is January 28 to February 2, with a \$100 fee.

In response to a question regarding the 10C required for planning grants, Ms. Premdas stated the 10C is for construction contracts only.

#### 10. FFY 2017/18 OBLIGATION AUTHORITY UPDATE

Martha Masters directed the agencies to her staff report attached to the agenda. She stated that RCTC and Caltrans meet every month go review what is found in the attachment and discuss the status of CMAQ and STBG projects awarded through various call for projects. She encouraged the agencies to continue the Federal Air process and contact RCTC staff with any questions, concerns, or to correct inaccurate information.

#### 11. NOVEMBER COMMISSION MEETING HIGHLIGHTS

Shirley Medina reported the following:

There were a couple SB 132 project agreements that staff took forward. There are five projects within the SB 132 project. They are:

- Limonite Interchange and construction funding
- Hamner Bridge that will be discussed at the December meeting
- Jurupa Road Grade Separation

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting November 20, 2017 Page 5

- I-15/91 Connectors project
- McKinley project staff is currently working with the city of Corona and there is not a schedule yet for when it will be taken to the Commission.

#### 12. OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS

Ms. Medina reminded the TAC that Grace Alvarez retired in November. Lorelle Moe-Luna was promoted to Program Manager, and Martha Masters was promoted to Lorelle's position as Senior Management Analyst. Martha's position will be filled in the next two or three months. In the meantime, Angela Keeler is on board as a temporary employee helping with the 2018 FTIP updates that is due to SCAG January 9.

#### 13. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business presented

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business for consideration by the Technical Advisory Committee, the meeting adjourned at approximately 12:00. The next meeting will be January 22 in Riverside at 10:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Shirley Medina Planning and Programming Director

# MARCH 19, 2018 MINUTES

### TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

#### Monday, March 19, 2018

#### CALL TO ORDER

The meeting of the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was called to order by Chair Ken Seumalo, who led the committee in a flag salute at 10:30 a.m. at the Coachella Valley Association of Governments, 73710 Fred Waring Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260

#### 2. SELF-INTRODUCTIONS

Members Present:

Lori Askew, City of Calimesa Bo Chen, City of Palm Desert John Corella, Cathedral City

Jesse Eckenroth, City of Rancho Mirage

Tom Garcia, City of Palm Springs Carlos Geronimo, City of Menifee Jonathan Hoy, City of Coachella Joe Indrawan, City of Eastvale David Lee, Caltrans District 8 Steve Loriso, City of Jurupa Valley Bryan McKinney, City of La Quinta Bob Moehling, City of Murrieta

Farshid Mohammadi, City of Riverside Anita Petke, SunLine Transit Agency Daniel Porras, City of Desert Hot Springs

Ken Seumalo, City of Indian Wells Patrick Thomas, City of Temecula

Art Vela, City of Banning

Timothy T. Wassil, City of Indio

Michael Wolfe, City of Moreno Valley

Others Present:

Amer Attar, City of Temecula

Eric Cowle, CVAG Shirley Gooding, RCTC Darren Henderson, WSP

Eric Lewis, City of Moreno Valley

Martha Masters, RCTC Anne Mayer, RCTC Shirley Medina, RCTC Lisa Mobley, RCTC

Lorelle Moe-Luna, RCTC Joel Montalvo, City of Palm Desert

Roy Null, County of Riverside
Daniel Ramirez-Cornejo, WRCOG

John Standiford, RCTC

Georgiena Vivian, VRPA Technologies

#### 3. APPROVAL OF NOVEMBER 20, 2017 MINUTES

Due to lack of a quorum, approval of the November 20, 2017 minutes was deferred to the next meeting.

#### 4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Joel Montalvo, City of Palm Desert, stated that the City of Palm Desert will have an issue with meeting its SB 1 Maintenance of Effort (MOE). Currently the city is working with the state. He'd like to know if anyone has similar problems.

John Corella, Cathedral City, stated his city had concerns and contacted the state finance office and was told their MOE would not change. If agencies do not meet their MOE, funds may have to be paid by to the state.

Patrick Thomas, city of Temecula, stated his city had a much higher number but it was by about \$1M because there were one-time expenses.

Mr. Thomas asked if RCTC has some input over that determination. Shirley Medina, RCTC, responded that staff is looking at the calculation as well and will be working with the city.

The city of Rancho Mirage indicated it had a similar situation and the city submitted all its one-time expenses and theirs was reduced over \$1M.

#### 5. LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN (LRTP) UPDATE

Shirley Medina, RCTC, introduced Georgiena Vivian, VRPA Technologies, who provided a PowerPoint presentation making the following points:

- RCTC Project Understanding
- Project Approach
- Remaining Project Schedule

Ms. Medina added that the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has initiated the 2020 RTP/SCS and will contact the cities for employment and housing information.

#### 6. ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (ATP) 10-POINT POLICY

Lorelle Moe-Luna, RCTC, provided background information on the CTC Active Transportation Program that funds bicycle and pedestrian improvements. She pointed out a comparison table indicating how other county transportation commissions are assigning their 10 points for the MPO ATP portion.

She reported staff will continue to seek feedback from TAC members and stated the TAC may wish to form a sub-committee. In May, staff will provide recommended revisions, if any, to the TAC and anticipates presenting it to the Commission in June with a goal to provide it to SCAG by July.

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting March 19, 2018 Page 3

Shirley Medina stated staff will contact the TAC again to determine if a sub-committee should be convened in the next month.

#### 7. TRUCK STUDY UPDATE

Ms. Moe-Luna introduced Darren Henderson, WSP, who provided a PowerPoint presentation including:

- Outline of Topics
- Overall Progress
- Identified Deficiencies
- Attributing the Share of Impacts to Warehousing and Logistics Uses
- Attributing the Share of Impacts
- Estimating the Cost of Needed Improvements
- Cost Estimation Methodology
- Design Concepts to Mitigate Impacts
- Conceptual Design I-15 SB Cajalco to Indian Truck
- Conceptual Cost Estimates
- Cost Estimation I-15 SB Cajalco to Indian Truck
- Total Conceptual Cost Estimate
- Next Steps

#### 8. FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (FTIP) UPDATE

Martha Masters, RCTC, stated work started on the 2019 FTIP in October and 396 projects were submitted to SCAG on January 9. The total project cost of all projects submitted was approximately \$7 billion.

Of the 396 submittals, there were 37 transportation control measure (TCM) projects with a total project cost of \$1.36 billion. TCM projects are specific transportation projects and programs committed to help improve air quality. TCMs must be operational or implemented by the completion date committed to in the FTIP, otherwise the project must be substituted by another TCM eligible project. She asked that agencies be aware of this requirement if the project is a TCM committed project programmed in the first two years of the FTIP.

Requirements such as these are in SCAG's 2019 FTIP Guidelines, which was provided to all agencies and are on SCAG's website.

These projects are technically in draft form and have been emailed to all agencies involved. SCAG is currently analyzing projects and performing transportation model runs. SCAG will contact the Commission over the next several months to verify information and will then conduct a 30-day public review period and hold public hearings starting in July. SCAG is scheduled to approve the 2019 FTIP

by September of this year and subsequently it will pursue state and federal approvals that are anticipated for full approval in early December. At that time, the 2019 FTIP takes effect and local agencies can obligate federal funds and seek federal approvals. The final 2019 FTIP will be sent to all agencies in December.

As part of the FTIP process, it is a requirement for all county commissions under SCAG to approve a financial resolution. A financial resolution was approved by the Commission last Wednesday that certifies the projects submitted are not programmed in excess of anticipated fund levels and affirms its highest priorities for funding are the projects in the 2019 FTIP, especially TCMs.

#### 9. FEDERAL OBLIGATION PLAN UPDATE

Martha Masters focused the TAC's attention to the attachment to this agenda item, which lists the projects to be obligated in FFY 17/18. If your project is on the list and your agency has not started the federal aid process, staff recommends you start the process. She encouraged letting staff know of any delays. She reported the county informed RCTC that their 215/Scott Road Interchange project has been obligated.

#### 10. CALTRANS UPDATE

David Lee, Caltrans District 8 Local Assistance, reported:

- Draft ATP Cycle 4 guidelines was presented at the March CTC meeting and is expected to be adopted in May. Comments to the draft guidelines are due April 16 and should be sent to Teresa McWilliam at headquarters by April 16. ATP Progress reporting/final reporting will be May and November.
- HSIP Cycle 9 is expected to be announced late April/early May 2018. A webinar will be held
  within two to three weeks after the announcement of the call for projects that will provide
  an overview of the application process. The application submittal deadline is August 31, 2018.
- The call for projects for Cycle 9 will be announced through the Local HSIP website as well as the Division of Local Assistance Blog and Caltrans' Email Notification Subscription.
- There's a new office bulletin, DLA-OB 18-01 Period of Performance. Effective immediately, local agencies are required to estimate the AED and include it at the time of the authorization request. AED is established by adding 12 months to the local agency's estimated date of completing the authorized phase of work.
- Staff Assignment Sheet
- Inactive Obligation. Inactive project invoices due as soon as possible.
- Future Inactive Projects are due March 20, 2018.
- New PES form Template is out. The previous version is obsolete and is no longer accepted.
- Southern California Local Assistance Management Meeting
   SCLAMM will be hosted by District 7 April 18, 2018, 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.
- Customer Service Survey

To help us serve you better, please complete the survey at <a href="https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/CTLocalAssistanceFeedback">https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/CTLocalAssistanceFeedback</a>

#### 11. MARCH COMMISSION MEETING HIGHLIGHTS

John Standiford, RCTC, announced the first year anniversary of the opening of the 91 Express Lanes in Riverside County. He reported Commission highlights:

- Provided final acceptance for the contract of the design-builder
- Hard plastic shell transponders will be replaced to allow for interoperability nationwide. New stickers on windshields will begin use by the end of this year. The two agenda items regarding transponders were for a marketing contract to help educate customers to that change and the procurement of the new 6C transponders.
- In December, RCTC refinanced about \$200M in debt to save about \$50M over the course of about 20 years. This year, RCTC will refinance about \$70M in bonds with a variable rate to take advantage of interest rates before they rise.
- Bill AB 1759 links to SB 1 for cities.

#### 12. OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS

Ms. Masters announced she has flyers stating the California Bicycle Coalition, the California Walks, Local Government Commission, and Rails-to-Trails Conservancy will present a Getting Ready for Active Transportation Program Cycle 4 (ATP) in Riverside April 2, 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and will provide individual technical assistance.

She also announced a SB 1 Project List Submission Guide for FY 2018/19, April 2, 2018 from 1:00 p.m.-2:15 p.m. A registration link is on the flyer.

#### 13. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business presented.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business for consideration by the Technical Advisory Committee, the meeting adjourned at approximately 11:44 a.m. The next meeting will be May 21 in Riverside at 10:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Shirley Medina

Planning and Programming Director

Shuley Mederia

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 5.

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 6.

| RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION |                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| DATE:                                      | May 21, 2018                                                                                                                                                                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| то:                                        | Technical Advisory Committee                                                                                                                                                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| FROM:                                      | Lorelle Moe-Luna, Planning and Programming Manager                                                                                                                                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT:                                   | Active Transportation Program – Metropolitan Planning Organization's Regional Program Guidelines and Recommended Revisions for Additional Points for Riverside County Project Applications |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

This item is for the Committee to:

1) Discuss and approve draft staff recommended changes to the Active Transportation Program (ATP) Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) Regional Program Guidelines for the county share.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

ATP is a highly competitive statewide program that funds bicycle and pedestrian facilities and programs to enhance or encourage walking and biking. The California Transportation Commission (CTC) awards 50 percent of the funds at the statewide competitive level, 10 percent to small urban and rural regions, and 40 percent at the large Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) level. The ATP process allows applicants two opportunities for award – statewide and large MPO level. As part of the sequential project selection, projects are first evaluated statewide and those that are not ranked high enough for statewide funding are automatically provided a second opportunity for funding through the large MPO share. As the MPO, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is required to work with county transportation commissions, the CTC, and Caltrans to develop its regional program recommendations.

As part of the development of the regional program guidelines, SCAG allows each county transportation commission to assign up to 10 points to the CTC's project scores for projects that are consistent with local and regional plans. Each county transportation commission in the SCAG region is responsible for defining "plans" and developing its guidance and methodology for assigning the additional 10 points. In addition, if a county transportation commission assigns points to a project for which it is the lead applicant, an explanation must be provided on how the scoring process resulted in an unbiased evaluation of projects.

In April 2014, the Commission approved staff's recommendation to assign 10 points to each application if the project is consistent with an adopted local and regional "plan." A "plan" may include, but is not limited to, the following:

- Measure A Expenditure Plan
- Riverside County Public Transportation Annual Countywide Performance Report
- SCAG Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy
- Western Riverside Council of Government's Non-Motorized Transportation Plan
- Coachella Valley Association of Government's Non-Motorized Transportation Plan
- City/County/School District Bicycle Plans
- City/County/School District Pedestrian Facilities
- Trail System Regional of Multi-County Trail System (e.g. Santa Ana River Trail, CV-Link)

#### Other

The current policy was developed based on the consideration that the CTC had yet to administer its first call for projects at the time under the new program, thus, allowing staff maximum flexibility and discretion to Riverside County projects selected under the MPO regional program.

Now that three cycles of ATP have passed, staff has reviewed the existing policy and recommends the following changes:

- In the event of a tie between projects, staff will work with the local agencies to determine if the funds can be split equally between applicants, without reducing the scope and benefits of the proposed project, and the local agencies committing to fully funding the difference with other local sources. If this option is not viable for the applicants, then staff will utilize the tie breaker method that CTC utilizes under the statewide component, which is to fund the project with the higher score in the "Need" question of the application.
- In the event that any one project scores high enough to be funded through the county share but the requested funding exceeds the amount available, staff will work with the project applicant to determine if the local agency can fully fund the difference of the project without reducing the scope and benefits proposed. Staff will also work with the local agency to strategize whether re-applying in a future cycle and obtaining a higher score to be funded under the statewide component is feasible or practical.
- Staff also proposes that up to 10 points be added to projects that meet the following criteria:
  - 2 points for projects requesting construction-only funding
  - o 3 points for projects requesting construction funding in the first two years of programming
  - 5 points for projects identified within the Western Riverside Council of Government's Subregional Active Transportation Plan; Coachella Valley Association of Governments Non-Motorized Plan; or an adopted local active transportation plan, bike or pedestrian master plan, or Safe Routes to School Plan.

In developing the criteria for the additional 10 points, staff considered other programs for which the Commission is also responsible for nominating projects such as the Transportation Development Act Article 3 (also known as SB 821) and the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality funds, and project readiness is an emphasis in evaluating and awarding projects. Similarly, for this program, staff recommends that project readiness be a factor that should be weighted more heavily as it illustrates the local agency's commitment to leverage other funding sources and meets one of the Commission's goals to deliver active transportation facilities as a partner with local agencies. Additionally, projects that are identified or prioritized in a local active transportation plan, or bike or pedestrian master plan further justifies a local agency's commitment to implementing projects that are part of a cohesive network and advances the goals of the program further.

For comparison, staff also compiled how other county transportation commissions are assigning their 10-points, as shown in the table below.

| ATP MPO 10-Point Policy by County Transportation Commission |        |                                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| County                                                      | Points | oints Criteria                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Bernardino                                              | 10     | 10 Consistent with local and regional plan |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imperial                                                    | 10     | 10 Consistent with local and regional plan |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange                                                      | Maximu | Maximum of 10 points                       |  |  |  |  |  |

|             | 5 | A planned bikeway in the Orange County Commuter Bikeways Strategic         |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|             |   | Plan                                                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 5 | Project is included in the Orange County Non-motorized Metrolink           |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |   | Accessibility Strategy                                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 5 | Project is a sidewalk on a facility within the Master Plan of Arterial     |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |   | Highways                                                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 3 | Project is included in a local agency bicycle or pedestrian master plan or |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |   | active transportation plan                                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 2 | Project is included in a local Safe Routes to School Plan                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 2 | Project is included in a specific plan or corridor plan                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 1 | Project is included in local agency general plan or circulation plan       |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 7 | Consistent with local and regional plan                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |   | Successful in the Metro Call for Projects, all projects with Metro Board   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles | 3 | commitment, and all projects which implement Metro active                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |   | transportation plans and policies                                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|             |   |                                                                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ventura     | 5 | Consistent with local and regional plan                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ventura     | 5 | Safe Routes to School Project                                              |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **Next Steps**

Staff has sought feedback and comments from TAC members and is recommending that the proposed revisions be discussed and approved by the TAC and forwarded to the Budget and Implementation Committee and full Commission for approval for inclusion into SCAG's MPO Regional Program Guidelines.

| RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION |                                                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| DATE:                                      | May 21, 2018                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то:                                        | Technical Advisory Committee                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FROM:                                      | Martha Masters, Senior Management Analyst                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT:                                   | 2017 and 2019 Federal Transportation Improvement Program Update |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Receive and file.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

The 2017 FTIP was officially adopted on December 16, 2016. As of today, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has processed eight formal amendments; two consistency amendments associated to the 2016 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy amendments; and nine administrative modifications. All formal amendments with the exception of the Amendment No. 19 have received the reviewing agencies conformity approvals; both consistency amendments have been approved. Administrative modification approvals are delegated to SCAG and eight of the nine administrative modifications have been approved. The next amendment is a formal modification (17-21) and is due to SCAG on June 26. This is the last amendment for the 2017 FTIP.

Planning and Programming staff keeps track of the needed changes for our member agencies projects to ensure obligation of funds without any delays but we also urge you to be familiar with the information currently programmed for your projects in the FTIP and to notify us of any changes so they can properly be incorporated into the FTIP to avoid obligation delays.

The current 2017 FTIP Approved Listing including Amendment No. 1-2, 4-6, and 8-18 is available at SCAG's website <a href="http://ftip.scag.ca.gov/Pages/2017/approved.aspx">http://ftip.scag.ca.gov/Pages/2017/approved.aspx</a>.

In January, RCTC staff submitted 396 projects to SCAG for the 2019 FTIP. The total project cost of all projects submitted totaled approximately \$7 billion dollars. The 2019 FTIP is anticipated to be fully approved in December. The 2019 FTIP Amendment schedule is being provided for your convenience.

Please feel free to contact RCTC Programming staff with any questions you may have about on-going projects that may require updates in the 2017 or 2019 FTIP for federal approvals and/or federal obligations.

Attachment: 2019 FTIP Amendment Schedule

### 2019 FTIP AMENDMENT/ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATION SCHEDULE

| Due Date<br>(by Noon)      | Amendments       | Administrative Modifications                                                                                                                      |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tuesday, July 17, 2018     | Amendment #19-01 | Including 2018 STIP, 2018 SHOPP,<br>HBP and changes to address<br>comments received on Draft 2019<br>FTIP only. Concurrent with 2019 FTIP<br>base |
| Tuesday, October 23, 2018  |                  | Administrative Modification #19-02                                                                                                                |
| Thursday, January 8, 2019  | Amendment #19-03 |                                                                                                                                                   |
| Tuesday, February 26, 2019 |                  | Administrative Modification #19-04                                                                                                                |
| Tuesday, April 9, 2019     | Amendment #19-05 |                                                                                                                                                   |
| Tuesday, May 21, 2019      |                  | Administrative Modification #19-06                                                                                                                |
| Tuesday, July 9, 2019      | Amendment #19-07 |                                                                                                                                                   |
|                            |                  |                                                                                                                                                   |

| RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION |                                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| DATE:                                      | May 21, 2018                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то:                                        | Technical Advisory Committee                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FROM:                                      | Martha Masters, Senior Management Analyst     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT:                                   | Obligation Delivery Plan Update – FFY 2017/18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Receive and file.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

As the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA), RCTC is responsible for ensuring that federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) and Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) funds apportioned to Riverside County are allocated and obligated in a timely manner to prevent funds from lapsing. Federal Obligation Authority (OA) for the region is provided on an annual basis and has to be used in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) it is provided. The Commission's goal is to ensure that 100 percent of its OA is obligated.

RCTC works closely with our local agencies and Caltrans to ensure projects on the Obligation Delivery Plan are obligated and delivered. Many of these projects are from the 2013 Multi-Funding Call for Projects, 2013 Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP a.k.a STBG) Call for Projects, CVAG's 2014 CMAQ Call for Projects, and various other projects that had been awarded CMAQ or STBG funds by the Commission. The attached obligation plan provides an outline of the projects that have CMAQ or STBG programmed in FFY 2017/18. The information provided in the attached obligation plan comes from milestone updates received from your agencies, discussions with project sponsors, and our monthly meetings with local assistance.

As we near the end of the FFY 2017/18, it is recommended you begin your federal-aid process as soon as possible, and/or devote the resources needed to secure the federal approvals for obligation, ensuring the timely obligation of the federal funds. In the attached FFY 2017/18 Obligation Plan, are the planned CMAQ and STBG obligations for the current year. If you anticipate a delay in obligating these funds this year, please notify RCTC staff with a project status update.

It is critical that local agencies awarded federal funds meet the milestones established to ensure local OA does not lapse. RCTC will be reviewing agreement dates and contacting agencies that have made little to no progress to discuss alternatives for the federal award. RCTC staff is available to assist cities with the processing of the RFA submittals and the overall navigation through the federal-aid process.

Attachment: FFY 2017/18 Obligation Plan

### FFY 2017/2018 OBLIGATION PLAN

| Caltrans Staff Agency        |               |                |                                                                   |            | Funding   | Project Approvals |         |               | RFA Subm. To   | RFA            | Current              | FTIP      |                   |                 |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                              | Agency        | FPN            | Project Location                                                  | CMAQ       | STP-L     | Phase             | PA&ED   | PA&ED<br>Date | Milestone Date | Milestone Date | CT D8<br>(Projected) | Milestone | Project<br>Status | Funding<br>Year |
| STATE HWY                    |               |                |                                                                   |            |           |                   |         |               |                |                |                      |           |                   |                 |
| Chigo Dike                   | Riverside     |                | Adams St at 91 IC                                                 |            | 935,000   | Eng               |         |               |                |                |                      |           | PSR               | FY 17/18        |
|                              |               |                | Subtotal                                                          | -          | 935,000   |                   |         |               |                |                |                      |           |                   |                 |
| LOCAL HWY                    |               |                |                                                                   |            |           |                   |         |               |                |                |                      |           |                   |                 |
| Albert Vergel de<br>Dios     | Indio         | CML-5275(030)  | Paving of Four (4) residential roads in Indio                     | 1,132,000  |           | Con               | Cleared | 7/12/2016     | 5/30/2017      | 6/30/2017      | 6/30/2018            | 6/30/2018 | Design            | FY 17/18        |
| Chigo Dike                   | Moreno Valley | CML 5441(065)  | Various Locations Signal<br>Synchronization (TCM)                 | 1,542,000  |           | Con               | Cleared | 10/5/2017     | 10/5/2017      |                |                      |           | PA&ED             | FY 15/16        |
| Albert Vergel de<br>Dios     | Rancho Mirage | CML 5412(016)  | Ramon Rd and Dinah Shore<br>Dr Traffic Flow Imp & Sand<br>Fencing | 31,000     |           | R/W               |         |               |                |                |                      |           | PA&ED             | FY 17/18        |
| Chigo Dike                   | Riverside     | STPL 5058(102) | Magnolia Ave from<br>Buchanan to Banbury<br>(Widening 4 -6 Ins)   |            | 2,620,000 | Con               | Cleared | 1/25/2018     |                |                |                      |           | PA&ED             | FY 17/18        |
| Eric Cowle/ Evita<br>Premdas | CVAG          | 6164(021)      | CVAG Regional Synch                                               | 5,315,000  |           | Con               |         |               |                |                |                      |           | Con               | FY 18/19        |
| Evita Premdas                | Riv. Co.      | CML 5956(241)  | Salt Creek Multi-Modal Trail                                      | 5,090,000  |           | Con               | Cleared | 10/20/2017    |                | 6/30/2017      |                      |           | Con               | FY 17/18        |
|                              |               |                | Subtotal                                                          | 13,110,000 | 2,620,000 |                   |         |               |                |                |                      |           |                   |                 |
|                              |               |                | Total                                                             | 13,110,000 | 3,555,000 |                   |         |               |                |                |                      |           |                   |                 |

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 10.

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 11.