

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA*

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DATE: March 15, 2010

LOCATION: Riverside County Transportation Commission
Riverside County Administrative Center
4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA
Conference Room A, 3rd Floor

*By request, agenda and minutes may be available in alternative format; i.e. large print, tape.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Dave Barakian, City of Palm Springs
Bill Bayne, City of Cathedral City
Tom Boyd, City of Riverside
Duane Burk, City of Banning
Greg Butler, City of Temecula
Bo Chen, City of Palm Desert
Kip Field, City of Corona
Paul Goble, City of Indian Wells
Mike Gow, City of Hemet
Bruce Harry, City of Rancho Mirage
Jonathan Hoy, City of Desert Hot Springs
Tim Jonasson, City of La Quinta
Michael Kashiwagi, City of Wildomar
Brad Kutzner, City of Menifee
Eunice Lovi, SunLine Transit Agency

Habib Motlagh, Cities of Canyon Lake, Perris and
San Jacinto
Juan Perez, County of Riverside
Kishen Prathivadi, City of Beaumont
Jim Rodkey, City of Blythe
Ken Seumalo, City of Lake Elsinore
Jim Smith, City of Indio
Mark Stanley, Riverside Transit Agency
Ruthanne Taylor-Berger, WRCOG
Patrick Thomas, City of Murrieta
Bill Thompson, City of Norco
Paul Toor, City of Coachella
Chris Vogt, City of Moreno Valley
Allyn Waggle, CVAG
Sean Yeung, Caltrans District 8
Carlos Zamano, City of Calimesa

Commission Staff
Anne Mayer, Executive Director
Shirley Medina, Programming and Planning Manager

RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA*

*Actions may be taken on any item listed on the agenda.

TIME: 10:00 A.M.
DATE: March 15, 2010
LOCATION: Riverside County Transportation Commission
Riverside County Administrative Center
4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA
Conference Room A, 3rd Floor

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and government Code Section 54954.2, if you need special assistance to participate in a Committee meeting, please contact Riverside County Transportation Commission at (951) 787-7141. Notification of at least 48 hours prior to meeting time will assist staff in assuring that reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility at the meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. SELF-INTRODUCTIONS
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
4. PUBLIC COMMENTS (This is for comments on items not listed on agenda. Comments relating to an item on the agenda will be taken when the item is before the Committee.)
5. ELECTION OF OFFICERS
6. FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE (Verbal Presentation)
7. LOCAL ASSISTANCE REPORT – RESTRUCTURING (Verbal Presentation)
8. FY 2009/10 DELIVERY AND OBLIGATION PLAN (Verbal Presentation)
9. MOE UPDATE (Verbal Presentation)
10. 2008 RTIP UPDATE (Attachment)

11. 2011 FTIP UPDATE (Attachment)
12. COMMISSION MEETING HIGHLIGHTS (Verbal Presentation)
13. OTHER BUSINESS
14. ADJOURNMENT (The next meeting will be April 19, 10:00 A.M., in Beaumont.)

MINUTES

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

MINUTES

Monday, February 8, 2010

1. Call to Order

The meeting of the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was called to order at 10:02 A.M., at Beaumont City Hall, Conference Room #2, 550 East Sixth Street, Beaumont, CA 92223.

2. Self-Introductions

Members Present: Dave Barakian, City of Palm Springs
Tom Boyd, City of Riverside
Greg Butler, City of Temecula
Bo Chen, City of Palm Desert
Mike Gow, City of Hemet
Bruce Harry, City of Rancho Mirage
Tim Jonasson, City of La Quinta
Prem Kumar, City of Moreno Valley
Tony Lucero, City of Coachella
Amir Modarressi, City of Desert Hot Springs
Robert Morin, City of Corona
Bill Mosby, Caltrans District 8
Diane Nguyen, City of Wildomar
Kishen Prathavadi, City of Beaumont
Tom Rafferty, City of Indio
Jim Rodkey, City of Blythe
Patty Romo, County of Riverside
Patrick Thomas, City of Murrieta
Sean Yeung, Caltrans District 8

Others Present: Matt Adolph, VRPA Technologies, Inc.
Fred Alamohoda, LAE Associates, Inc.
Grace Alvarez, RCTC
Adrian Dunfee, City of Indio
Ernie Figueroa, Parsons
Shirley Gooding, RCTC
Kevin Hughes, City of Beaumont
Eric Lewis, City of Moreno Valley
Shirley Medina, RCTC
Mila Nelson, Riverside Transit Agency
Chris Sunde, Cities of Canyon Lake, Perris, San Jacinto
Art Vela, City of Banning
Tim Wassil, TCM Group

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

M/S/C (Harry/Jonasson) to approve the minutes of November 16, 2009.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

5. CMP CHAPTER 7

Shirley Medina, RCTC, presented an overview of Chapter 7, Transportation Demand Management/Air Quality, of the Riverside County Congestion Management Program (CMP). She stated that the TDM ordinances were adopted by each local agency by 1992. Chapter 7 was expanded to include the TDM projects and programs under the Measure A Commuter Assistance Program, including Park-N-Ride, commuter rail stations, and public transit feeder services.

She requested that TAC members provide comments within the next few days and stated that staff will take the CMP biennial update for approval at the March Commission meeting.

M/S/C (Harry/Jonasson) to approve staff recommendation of Chapter 7, Transportation Demand Management/Air Quality, Riverside County Congestion Management Program.

6. STIP UPDATE

Shirley Medina reported that at the January 29 Commission Workshop she presented the 2010 STIP proposal, which the Commission adopted. She reviewed highlights of her staff report and reported that there is only \$366 million of additional programming capacity statewide over the 5-year STIP cycle beginning FY 2010/11. Of that, only about \$140 is available for highway project programs. The remainder is for TE projects and Garvee debt service.

She pointed out the complexity and severity of the state budget impact on the STIP on page two of the staff report. She also reported that at the January 2010 CTC meeting, there was no STIP capacity available for allocations.

7. FTIP/RTP UPDATE

Grace Alvarez, RCTC, provided the TAC with an update on the 2008 RTIP Amendments summarizing that amendment No's 1-32 have been approved, leaving only amendment No's 33 & 34 pending federal and/or state approval.

Amendment No. 34 is the consistency amendment (2008 RTP A-3), which included four new projects; criteria for inclusion in the consistency amendment was based on the project schedules. Only the projects that had accelerated schedules, starting a project phase in FY 2009/2010, were included in the amendment. All of the 2008 RTP A-3 changes were incorporated in the 2011 FTIP. Grace reported that a complete listing of

the projects included in the individual amendments, as well as the approval dates, were included as an attachment to the staff report handed out at the start of the meeting.

The 2008 RTP A-2 & 2008 RTIP A-24, received federal approval on January 22, 2010.

Regarding the 2008 RTP A-3, RCTC on behalf of its member agencies submitted the 2008 RTP A-3 to SCAG on November 25, 2009; the submittal included changes to 11 existing projects and addition of 10 new projects to the 2008 RTP. Anticipated approval of the 2008 RTP A-3 & 2008 RTIP A-34 is spring 2010.

Moving to the 2011 FTIP, Grace thanked the member agencies' collaboration in getting the project updates and the detailed modeling information required of SCAG under the upgraded database. She briefly reported on the review levels and schedules that SCAG provided before the 2011 FTIP is approved – anticipated approval of the 2011 FTIP is December 2010.

8. MEASURE A MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT BASE YEAR DEVELOPMENT

Ms. Medina said that there were problems with the state's Street Report and interpretations of reporting expenditures, etc. Staff realized that the issue to develop the base year could not be resolved; therefore, the 1989 base year was extended. For those cities incorporated after 1989, Proposition 42 was used as the basis.

She pointed out the Construction and Maintenance Expenditures definition that was provided to the meeting participants and stated that staff took the definitions out of the state controller's office for construction expenditures and maintenance. She requested agreement that these are the definitions that should be followed. Since there were so many variances in reporting, staff considered creating a format that the finance directors and public works staff could use for MOE reporting and certification.

9. ARRA PROJECT STATUS AND POTENTIAL NEXT STIMULUS (ARRA II)

Ms. Medina stated that for ARRA, five highway relocations were approved for highway allocation of ARRA funding:

- I-10 Bob Hope interchange, obligated and awarded in November;
- 60 Valley Way interchange, obligated and awarded in December;
- I-10 Palm Drive, obligated and recently awarded;
- I-10 Indian, obligated and the award will occur in March; and
- SR-74/I-215 interchange, at Federal Highways awaiting obligation.

There was savings of about \$11 million on Bob Hope and the savings got split among I-10 @ Gene Autry Trail/Palm Drive Interchange, \$5,841,000 and I-10/Indian Canyon Drive Interchange, \$5,518,000.

Regarding ARRA II, the House bill indicates no federal requirements will be waived again and that a 90-day deadline to have half the funding under contract is being proposed. Last time there was a 90-day deadline to have the funds obligated. Ms. Medina acknowledged that it will be extremely difficult to meet a 90-day contract deadline since

prior to contract award, many steps precede the award such as advertisement, obligation of funds, and FTIP amendments.

10. LOCAL ASSISTANCE UPDATE

Sean Yeung, Caltrans Local Assistance, provided a summary of training that is available through Caltrans. FHWA will host Part 2, Invoice Training, on Wednesday, February 10, and again on February 11. Caltrans is hosting an emergency relief workshop at the same time in San Bernardino, Caltrans building.

UC Berkeley is offering Resident Engineer Academy training at 4 separate times and locations throughout this year. Mr. Yeung indicated that he had already sent links for the training to local agencies.

Mr. Yeung also announced that Highway Bridge Program (HBP) funds will be reserved until March 2010. After March 30, the money will be available to the projects that are ready to move forward.

He stated that notices were sent out regarding quarterly inactive projects and he requested that if agencies have projects on the inactive list, responses, in the form of a progress report or justification form, should be sent to Caltrans no later than February 22.

A question was asked regarding the highway bridge programs, if a city already has money set aside for a project will anything happen to that money on March 30. Mr. Yeung responded that RFAs should be sent before March 30 and that the same money will be available for projects seeking programming of funds this fiscal year. After March 30, the funds will be available on a first come, first serve basis.

11. COMMISSION MEETING HIGHLIGHTS

Shirley Medina reported that the Commission Workshop January 28 and 29 discussed the Measure A 10-year delivery plan that was adopted in 2006. The Commission approved an aggressive plan to get started on 2009 Measure A projects; however, state funding has dissipated and is unreliable, and the federal authorization may be delayed another year. No projects will be taken off the list, but the progress on some of the projects may have to be slowed down.

Mark Watts was the evening dinner speaker at the Commission workshop and he talked about activities in Sacramento, including the state budget and gas tax restructuring proposals.

At the February 10 Commission meeting this week, one of the items is the proposed committee restructuring. The Plans and Programs Committee will disband and two project program committees will be formed – one for the eastern cities and one for the western cities. The Budget and Implementation Committee will continue and project specific discussions will be placed on the respective eastern or western committee.

12. OTHER BUSINESS

Shirley Medina provided RCTC's Federal Legislative Program. On page 5 there is a discussion on staff recommendations to streamline the federal process.

A new Chair and Vice Chair will be elected at the next TAC meeting. Normally the Vice Chair moves to the Chair and a new Vice Chair from eastern county will be selected.

13. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business for consideration by the Technical Advisory Committee, the meeting adjourned at approximately 11:28 A.M.

Respectfully submitted,


Shirley Medina
Programming and Planning Manager

AGENDA ITEM 6

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 6.

AGENDA ITEM 7

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 7.

AGENDA ITEM 8

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 8.

AGENDA ITEM 9

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 9.

AGENDA ITEM 10

RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DATE:	March 15, 2010
TO:	Technical Advisory Committee
FROM:	Grace Alvarez, Staff Analyst Andrea Zureick, Senior Staff Analyst
THROUGH:	Shirley Medina, Programming and Planning Manager
SUBJECT:	2008 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) and Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Amendment Status

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Receive and File

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The following is a quick recap on reportable actions in the 2008 RTIP since the February 8th TAC meeting update.

Amendment No. 33 completed the project review period on February 4th and Caltrans approval was secured on February 24th; FHWA approval is still pending.

On February 18th, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) released Draft Amendment #3 to the 2008 RTP and associated Draft Amendment #08-34 to the 2008 RTIP for a 30-day public review and comment period. The public review and comment period ends on March 19th. SCAG will address the public comments received and finalize the 2008 RTP A-3 and associated 2008 RTIP A-34 before the amendment is forwarded to the funding agencies for approval – anticipated approval is April/May 2010.

Administrative Modification No. 38 was submitted to SCAG on February 16th and approved by Caltrans on February 17th. The administrative modification No. 38 included four local projects. The attached tables summarize the 2008 RTIP amendments and update the status since the February 2010 TAC meeting.

2008 Regional Transportation Improvement Program – Amendment Submittals & Approval Dates

2008 RTIP Document	Purpose	Due to RCTC	Due to SCAG	Approved by SCAG	Approved by CT/FHWA
Final				7/14/2008	11/17/2008
Amendment 1	Consistency with 2008 RTP Amendment 1 – 50 projects submitted	7/18/2008	7/30/2008	12/8/2008	1/14/2009
Amendment 2	Formal Amendment – 90 projects submitted	9/23/2008	10/22/2008	12/30/2008	2/2/2009
Amendment 3	-- Did not include Riverside County projects --				
Amendment 4	Administrative Modification – 2 projects submitted	12/8/2008	1/12/2009	1/28/2009	1/29/2009
Amendment 5	-- Economic Stimulus Revenue Amendment – Did not include projects --				
Amendment 6	Formal Amendment – 15 projects submitted	01/26/2009	02/02/2009	02/24/2009	03/25/2009
Amendment 7	-- Did not include Riverside County projects --				
Amendment 8	-- Did not include Riverside County projects --				
Amendment 9	Formal Amendment – 43 projects submitted	02/23/2009	03/04/2009	04/03/2009	04/23/2009
Amendment 10	Administrative Modification – 7 ARRA projects submitted	03/11/2009	03/13/2009	03/18/2009	03/18/2009
Amendment 11	-- Did not include Riverside County projects --				
Amendment 12	Formal Amendment – 14 ARRA transit projects	03/11/2009	03/20/2009	04/20/2009	04/30/2009

2008 RTIP Document	Purpose	Due to RCTC	Due to SCAG	Approved by SCAG	Approved by CT/FHWA
Amendment 13	Administrative Modification – 1 project	04/01/2009	04/03/2009	04/08/2009	04/08/2009
Amendment 14	Administrative Modification – 6 ARRA projects	04/14/2009	04/15/2009	04/22/2009	4/22/2009
Amendment 15	Formal Amendment – ARRA adjustments	--	Did not include Riverside County projects --		
Amendment 16	Formal Amendment – 53 projects	04/28/2009	05/11/2009	06/15/2009	6/30/2009
Amendment 17	Administrative Modification – 13 projects	04/28/2009	05/22/2009	06/04/2009	06/08/2009
Amendment 18	Formal Amendment	--	Did not include Riverside County Projects --		
Amendment 19	Administrative Modification – 16 projects	06/30/2009	07/10/2009	07/29/2009	08/11/2009
Amendment 20	Formal Amendment – 29 projects	07/13/2009	08/07/2009	09/09/2009	10/08/2009
Amendment 21	Formal Amendment	--	Did not include Riverside County Projects --		
Amendment 22	Administrative Modification – 1 project	08/20/2009	08/20/2009	08/20/2009	08/20/2009
Amendment 23	Formal Amendment – 5 projects	8/17/2009	08/31/2009	09/25/2009	10/20/2009
Amendment 24	Formal Amendment – 27 projects	07/10/2009	09/09/2009	12/7/2009	01/22/2010
Amendment 25	Administrative Modification – 3 projects	09/03/2009	09/10/2009	09/18/2009	09/24/2009

